



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,220	09/30/2003	Samuil Shmuylovich	EMC03-17(03087)	9876

58404 7590 05/15/2009

BARRY W. CHAPIN  
CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC  
WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK  
1700 WEST PARK DRIVE, SUITE 280  
WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581

EXAMINER
----------

WAI, ERIC CHARLES

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2195

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/675,220	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHMUYLOVICH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ERIC C. WAI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC C. WAI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Rajesh Fotedar (Reg No. 59,562). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Krishnan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant wanted to discuss the differences between the claims and the Krishnan reference. Examiner agreed that Krishnan, while similar in some respect to the claim language, did not teach certain aspects of the claim language, namely the step of "after assignment of the first store process for the first agent, establishing a recently assigned agent condition... ". However, no agreement over the allowability of the claims was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/VAN H NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194	
---	--